

CENTRE FOR
SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH

Social Surveys Unit

**“Let us eat airtime”:
youth identity and ‘xenophobic’
violence in a low-income
neighbourhood in Cape Town**

Adam Cooper

CSSR Working Paper No. 263

October 2009

Adam Cooper is a researcher at the CSSR and at the Extra-Mural Education Project (NGO)

Acknowledgements:

Thanks to the staff and learners at Inkwenkwezi High, who participated in this research project, as well as fieldworkers Bulelwa Nokwe, Ncedeka Mbune and Tobanu Ncabai.

“Let us eat airtime”: youth identity and ‘xenophobic’ violence in a low-income neighbourhood in Cape Town

Abstract

This study involved 11 discussion groups and 9 individual interviews with learners at a high school in Dunoon, the area where apparently ‘xenophobic’ violence first erupted, in Cape Town, in May 2008. The study used qualitative methods to explore these youths’ perceptions of different groups who live in Dunoon, descriptions of how these groups interact in daily community life and accounts of what transpired in May 2008. In the research these young people described themselves as ‘black’ and Xhosa, using these identities to portray local township social and economic processes, in which ‘black Xhosa’ people are apparently worse off in terms of education, skills and wealth, in comparison to local Somali shopkeepers. Young people also described themselves as aspiring to be modern, urban citizens, shopping at malls and speaking on their cellular telephones. Participants then proceeded to explain the violence towards foreign nationals through a discourse of ‘the attacks happened because the people are hungry.’ People may well be hungry, but hunger usually turns to violence when a set of beliefs and ideologies exist, in addition to this hunger, which indicate that a situation is unfair and that taking action to bring about change, is justified. Through the combination of identities portrayed by young people in this study- as black, Xhosa and modern citizens- it appears as if the discursive justification for the violence- as due to ‘hunger’- was being used partially metaphorically, to describe a set of desires: people in Dunoon want food, but they are also hungry for televisions, laptop computers and airtime for their cellphones. Many of these commodities, which are integral to a modern, middle-class lifestyle, are still largely elusive for groups of the South African urban poor. This leads to resentment and frustration and may produce violence when others in the local environment, such as Somali shopkeepers, appear to enjoy these social and economic privileges, to a greater extent.

Background and Introduction

‘Us’ and ‘them’: the production of social identities

In May 2008, South African citizens in neighbourhoods across the country, attacked, robbed and evicted thousands of people presumed to be foreign nationals in a tragic and shameful set of events. In total, 63 people were killed, 342 shops were looted and 213 shops were raised to the ground; 1384 individuals were arrested (SAPS, 2008). The violence began in Johannesburg and the worst of the violence occurred in this region (see table 6 for a provincial breakdown of xenophobic incidents). There were some incidents of apparently ‘xenophobic’ violence in Cape Town, although these occurrences were muted in comparison to what took place in Gauteng. One such site in Cape Town was Dunoon, where violence first erupted in this city and where, according to Milnerton SAPS Inspector Dell, 20-30 shops were looted, 23 people were arrested and 270 foreign nationals (almost all) were evacuated (Dell, 2008, personal communication).

In order to understand the intergroup conflict that took place in Dunoon in May 2008, I will analyse the way in which some young people, in this neighbourhood, categorise themselves as belonging to certain groups and not others, how these social identities and divisions function in their community and how these groups’ positions were understood, by participants, in the context of the broader society. These perceptions of self and others will then be used in order to analyse the way in which the Dunoon violence was rationalised and explained, by the young people in this study. An analysis of these group identities requires an initial understanding of how people divide the world into groups of ‘us’ and ‘them’ and how they assess what is fair.

An integral component of the process which may culminate in inter-group violence is comprised of the way in which people assess ‘ingroups’, social groups of which they are a part, and ‘outgroups’, groups of which they are not members. These social groups or ingroups, which people identify with, are not neutral; they are laden with emotional and value significance, as individuals strive for positive self-evaluations, through group membership (Abrams & Hogg, 1990; Reicher, 2002; Stott & Drury, 2000). Social identities are, therefore, clarified for individuals through comparisons with other groups, assessments of how ones ingroup is positioned in the social hierarchy and considerations regarding whether this state of affairs is justified (Abrams & Hogg, 1990).

When describing the conflict in May 2008, ‘we’-for the young people of Dunoon- generally referred to being ‘young, urban, black and Xhosa’ and ‘they’ were generally local Somali shop owners. ‘Black’ people described themselves as worse off than Somali shopkeepers, who were perceived to enjoy superior wealth, skills, education and commercial abilities. In addition, the participants strongly expressed the desire to be ‘modern, urban citizens’, leading a lifestyle that they imagined to be ensconced in this form of citizenship. These young people yearn for a different, imagined lifestyle, one that includes privileges inherent in middle-class culture. For example, learners described material things like cellular telephone airtime, as a perceived basic need in their lives:

we are suffering.. there was few shops, so we had to make a line, even if we want to buy some airtime, we have to make a line (the day after the mass looting)

Airtime has become a perceived necessity for the learner interviewed above, indicating the kind of life she wishes to live. All of the learners who I interviewed had cellular telephones. This was our method of communication. It is in this light that I am interpreting that, although participants explained the attacks as due to ‘belly hunger’, their descriptions of ‘who we are’ and ‘who the Somalis are’, allude to collective beliefs that go beyond a basic need for food. People in Dunoon may often feel hungry and not have as much food as they would like. However this ‘hunger’ was also used metaphorically, to demonstrate these young people’s desire to become respectable, modern citizens, in the new South Africa. It was also stated by participants that the Somalis, somewhat illegitimately, have greater access to many of these benefits, due to their successful business enterprises.

This point about literal and figurative ‘hunger’ is further illustrated by the fact that the people of Dunoon rejected a soup kitchen which was operating in the community. Ward councillor Peace Stimela states that the soup kitchen was eventually discontinued, because the woman who ran it was “an Arab and people complained that her vegetables were rotten”. Another anecdote from the research process itself also demonstrates this point about how participants used the notion of ‘hunger’. During interviews I offered learners something to eat or drink, from the restaurant where I conducted interviews. Only one of the nine young people asked for something to eat, the others preferring to drink branded soft drinks, such as coca-cola. As one drives through Dunoon, in the evening, the streets are packed with informal market stalls selling food. It therefore did not appear as if there was a major famine in Dunoon, even if people don’t always eat as much as they would like and the majority of people are very poor (see census data below). Although participants in this study explained the May 2008 violence as due to the fact that “the people are hungry” and that residents

“only took their food, they didn’t kill foreign nationals”, these actions are not encapsulated by simple ‘belly hunger’.

Empirical measures of hunger in South Africa portray a mixed picture, varying with the particular questions asked and different studies. However, these sources do not provide evidence of general food deprivation, on a mass level. The General Household Survey (a national survey which unfortunately under samples in metropolitan areas), reported the percentages of adults and children who said that they went hungry because of a lack of food, during the 12 months preceding the survey, as 2% for both of these groups, in 2007 (StatsSA, 2008). These numbers had decreased from 6,9% to 2,0% for adults and from 6,7% to 2,0% for children between 2002 and 2007 (StatsSA, 2008). Respondents were asked whether any adult (over the age of 18 years) in the household had gone hungry because there was not enough food, and a similar question was asked about children (younger than 18 years) in the household. The response categories varied from “Never went hungry” to “Always went hungry”. The 2% classified as ‘hungry’ above, included those who answered ‘always’ or ‘often’ went hungry. The 2002 Cape Area Panel Study (CAPS) buttresses these findings, with little evidence of meal deprivation in Cape Town. Less than 1% of respondents – adolescents aged between 14 and 22 in 2002 – said that they ate *only* 1 meal per day and between 1 and 7% said ‘one or two meals’. Almost all (92%) of participants stated that on average they ate at least 3 meals per day. Three years later, in 2005, the same panel of young people (now aged 17 to 25) reported eating, on average, slightly fewer meals. Hunger levels rose slightly in the 2005 CAPS study which found that 12% of the African respondents reported eating only 1 or 2 meals a day (Seekings, *forthcoming*).

Afrobarometer and the 2005 National Food Consumption Survey (NFCS) have both found more evidence of hunger amongst South Africans. Afrobarometer found that 40% of South Africans said that they had not had enough food once or twice, or more than this, during the last year. NFCS found that one half of all households reported experiencing hunger, during the course of the year (Seekings, *forthcoming*).

These different findings may well be due to the wording of the question. The GHS said ‘going hungry because there wasn’t enough food’, while the Afrobarometer asked ‘how often have you gone without enough food to eat’. Also, what constitutes ‘enough’ food is open to interpretation, varying from person to person. All in all it seems as if the evidence varies due to interpretation of the question and people’s different understandings of poverty and deprivation. However, regardless of the actual levels of hunger experienced by

the people of Dunoon, hunger only turns to violence under certain conditions, when people feel that a situation is unfair.

Research in other contexts has also demonstrated that hunger usually turns to violence when people feel that a situation is unjust. Protest action linked to food and hunger is often due as much to a moral outrage, as to “rebellions of the belly” or “an instinctive reaction of virility to hunger” (Thompson, 1993). For example, EP Thompson (1993) demonstrated that in almost every eighteenth century food riot, there was some legitimising notion: the people were defending traditional rights and customs. The deeply symbolic ritual of the harvest home, linked to the seasons and a familiar cycle, was disrupted by new economic developments whereby the grain was whisked off elsewhere, to locations where it fetched the highest price (Thompson, 1993). Seen within the broader context, food riots and violence in eighteenth century Britain were the result of capitalist innovation- experienced as exploitation by those at the bottom- due to the change from feudalism to a market-based economy. Instead of grain being sold in the local market, it was sold where it fetched the highest price (Thompson, 1993).

Returning to the current study in Cape Town, youth in Dunoon used descriptions of ‘us’ and ‘them’, as well as notions of ‘needs and hunger’, to demonstrate that their situation is substantially unfair. More historical background on the ‘us’ and ‘them’, as described by youth in Dunoon, further contextualises the Dunoon moral economy and begins to indicate why local people may have felt that it was legitimate to loot Somali shops, in a violent manner.

‘Us’: Aspirations of the African urban working-class

The young South Africans who participated in this study form part of the African urban working-class. This group’s perceptions of inequality and justice need to be seen in an historical context, if one is to fully grasp the group’s attitudes towards other social groups. The end of apartheid was first and foremost the result of the urban working-class doubling in size between the 1950s and 1980s, producing a cohort of African city dwellers who came into contact with radical ideas and yearned for education, housing, urban services and political rights (Nattrass & Seekings, 1998). The development of Dunoon can be seen within this process. The area is a provincial government RDP housing project that was designed to accommodate people from the nearby informal settlement of Marconi Beam: an area begun in the 1970s to house Milnerton race course grooms, stable hands and their families, who had migrated to Cape Town. In 1996 Marconi Beam was home to 5775 people in

1343 shacks (McDonald, 2000). After much negotiations and concern from surrounding middle-class suburbs about land-value, crime and the destruction of the natural environment- due to this informal settlement- Marconi Beam residents were planned to be moved to Joe Slovo Park and Dunoon, by the end of 2000 (Saff, 1996, 1998, 2005). The process of moving people to Dunoon and Joe Slovo, from Marconi Beam, was assisted by a government housing subsidy, one that provided once off support for people to acquire a permanent dwelling (Barry, 2006).

According to census data (which should be interpreted with caution as it is now eight years old), Dunoon is a very poor township, by Cape Town standards. Only 47% of the Dunoon population was employed in 2001. It can be observed that all of the African townships have much higher rates of unemployment than Cape Town as a whole. Of those that were employed in Dunoon, the majority were employed in elementary work (41%), with 17% working in crafts and trades, 11% as service workers in shops and sales and 9% working as plant and machine operators. 86% of Dunoon residents earned less than R1600 a month and a further 13% earned between R1600 and R6400. It is noteworthy that although all of the African townships in table 1 have similar rates of unemployment, Dunoon residents have the second lowest wages, with 86% earning less than R1600 per month and only Masiphumelele appearing to have lower incomes. Interestingly Dunoon and Masiphumelele were two of the areas worst hit by apparently 'xenophobic' violence, in Cape Town. Whilst Cape Town's poor are not poor in national terms, the white population is overwhelmingly affluent and the African minority is overwhelmingly poor (Seekings, 2005). To put it crudely, therefore, in Cape Town a large degree of relative deprivation exists between poor Africans and rich white people. The residents of Dunoon fit within the cohort of African people which moved to urban areas in the second half of the 20th century, a group which has been exposed to a different lifestyle from their rural peers and which has particular kinds of expectations, for themselves, in the new South Africa. However, the majority of these people are still poor, as census data shows.

Census 2001

Table 1: Dunoon Population

Ethnic Group	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%
Black African	4056	45	4045	45	8101	90
Coloured	399	4	512	6	911	10.08
Asian/ Indian	3	0.03	3	0.03	6	0.07
White	9	0.1	9	0.1	18	0.2
total	4466	49	4569	51	9036	100

Table 2: Dunoon Language

Language	Number	%
English	72	0.8
Afrikaans	1043	12
Xhosa	7447	82
Other African	?	?

Table 3: Dunoon education

Education level of adults age 20+	Cape Town	Dunoon
Grade 7 or less	23	41
Grade 8-11	38	39
Grade 12	25	15
Certificate/diploma with grade 12	7	3
Bachelor's Degree	2	0.32

Table 4: Dunoon employment and income

Area	% unemployed	% earning less than R1600 income	% earning R1600-R6400 income
Cape Town	29	42	41
Dunoon	53	86	13
Gugulethu	51	67	31
Khayalitsha	51	78	21
Nyanga	56	80	20
Langa	49	72	25
Phillipi	59	83	16
Crossroads	54	84	15
Masiphumelele	59	94	6

Table 5: Dunoon occupations

Dunoon						
Occupation of labour force	Male	%	Female	%	Total	%
Legislators, senior officials and managers	33	1	12	1	45	2
Professionals	18	0.78	0	0.00	18	0.78
Technicians and associate professionals	33	1	21	1	54	2
Clerks	60	3	81	3	141	6
Service workers, shop and market sales workers	107	5	144	6	251	11
Skilled agricultural and fishery workers	54	2	3	0	57	2
Craft and related trades workers	330	14	79	3	409	17
Plant and machine operators and assemblers	203	9	9	0	212	9
Elementary occupations	473	20	491	21	964	41
Undetermined	112	5	56	2	168	7
<i>Total</i>	1,423	61	896	39	2,319	100.00

Despite these difficult circumstances depicted by census data, many of the urban and rural poor were initially fairly understanding of the slow pace of change in the new democracy (there are no large, recent studies on this topic). This is contrary to popular opinion expressed during the democratic transition, when commentators assumed that the ANC would be under great pressure, from the electorate, to redistribute wealth (Nattrass & Seekings, 1998). In a survey of post-apartheid expectations, Charney (1995) found that the electorate were sympathetic to the limits facing government and the constraints that prevent government from miraculously solving economic problems. Even though jobs were rated as the most pressing problem in people's lives, the urban and rural poor were substantially pessimistic (or realistic) about the extent of possible job creation (Charney, 1995). It seemed to be recognised that social and economic change is not entirely in the hands of government and that 'economic prosperity for all' cannot happen in the short-term. There was evidence of disappointment, but hope that changes would happen in time. Charney (1995) found that the most disappointed were groups of urban people, especially trade unionists and

better educated African people, indicating where future resistance and uprisings may originate.

Whilst South Africans strongly support the redistribution of wealth, attitudes for redistribution are conditional, depending on perceptions of whether the recipients are deemed to be deserved beneficiaries of policies that promote equality. For example, the elderly and those with responsibilities, such as breadwinners, are deemed worthy recipients of redistributed wealth. In a synthesis of literature on distributive justice, Seekings (2005) states that perceptions and attitudes change according to the questions posed and the date of the study. However, in general, the poor to very poor are more critical of these inequalities than the well-off and Africans are more critical than whites and coloureds. The census data (see p. 5) indicates that Dunoon is predominantly comprised of poor African people. The cohort of poor young Africans who participated in this study are therefore part of a group which, research indicates, is most critical of inequalities (which have actually increased in the new South Africa according to empirical data: see, for example, Leibbrandt's calculation of the Gini coefficient for income distribution rising by five percentage points, from 0.65-0.7, between 1995 and 2000 in Seekings, 2007) in post-apartheid South Africa.

In summation, the Dunoon youths who participated in this study need to be seen within a socio-historical context, one which involved mass urbanisation and political transformation, without substantial changes in material circumstances. In addition, in post apartheid South Africa inequality continues to rise, yet many Africans display patient attitudes towards the ANC government, whose difficult task to bring about material change, is acknowledged. However, research also shows that the African urban poor are most critical of differences in wealth and that attitudes to redistribution depend on perceptions of whether recipients deserve to benefit. The question therefore looms as to what South Africans feel foreign nationals deserve to receive, in the new South Africa.

'Them': foreign nationals

Although people may have been accepting of government's constraints in terms of producing economic prosperity for its citizens, it is not, generally, seen as legitimate for African foreign nationals to be thriving in the new democracy. In one study, 74% of South Africans support deportation of anyone who is not contributing economically to South Africa (Crush, 2008). 61% stated that foreign nationals should not be able to start a small business in this country (Crush, 2008). The illegitimacy of foreign nationals' economic prosperity is

underlined by South Africans' attitudes towards these people's rights, in South Africa. Excluding treatment for HIV/AIDS, 66% of South Africans expressed the sentiment that irregular migrants should be granted no rights or protection, including the right to basic services (Crush, 2008). This may be due to the fact that South Africans feel that they themselves have not received these rights and full citizenship, as service delivery protests indicate. Between 1500 and 2000 service delivery protests occurred in the 2 year period from March 2004 to March 2006, spanning South Africa's metropolitan areas, including Tshwane (Pretoria), Nelson Mandela Metropole (Port Elizabeth), Cape Town and Durban (Booyesen, 2007). These service delivery protests involved citizens demanding the provision of amenities like water, electricity and housing. Booyesen (2007) concludes that these protests demonstrate dissatisfaction with local conditions and alienation from the institutions of local democracy. A memorandum handed to the city of Cape Town by Dunoon residents is attached as appendix A. This document demonstrates the service-delivery related frustration which existed in the area. Dunoon residents- and other poor South Africans- feel aggrieved that they have not received services which are assumed to accompany citizenship in a democratic state and they do not, generally, feel that foreign nationals should have access to these services.

The case study of the May 2008 conflict, in Dunoon, therefore serves to highlight that in contexts of *relative* deprivation, people are constantly assessing what they have, by comparison with selected others, in order to assess what is fair. People make meaning of their material conditions and the way these circumstances structure who they are and their place in the world. The frustrations of the South African urban poor, based on a lack of service delivery, high unemployment, low wages and feelings that 'we' have not attained the life that 'we' imagined 'we' would now be living, partially shape what it means to be black, modern, urban and even 'hungry', as the pages which follow illustrate. In these circumstances sentiments may emerge which, tragically, contribute to collective acts of violence, in the process denying others the social and economic rights which 'we' feel 'they' should not attain prior to 'us' receiving these benefits. The violence in Dunoon is therefore best understood as a process, whereby economic, social and political factors are inextricably interlinked in the way people in poor communities understand their position. Whilst relative deprivation and perceptions of inequality are neither necessary nor sufficient in the precipitation of violence, these sentiments are pertinent risk factors for the outbreak of conflict. An analysis of the reciprocal relationships and expectations that exist between individuals and groups, within the particular contexts in which they live, can lead to explanations of conflict which are succinct and multidimensional, in so doing enhancing understandings of how intergroup violence, in some contexts, may occur.

Figure 1: Dunoon Settlement



Killarney Race Track **Main Road** **Sophakama Primary** **Inkwenkwezi High** **Site 5 Informal Settlement**



Table 6: Provincial breakdown of xenophobic incidents

Province	Shops/ houses Looted	Shops/ Houses Burnt	People killed	Arrested suspects
Western Cape	33	2	1	435
Mpumalanga	44	3	1	49
Eastern Cape	2	0	1	5
Gauteng	155	202	54	227
Free state	5	0	1	97
Limpopo	0	0	1	63
North West	16	3	1	298
Kwazulu Natal	87	2	3	121
Total	342	213	63	1384

Table. Source: SAPS 2008

Setting, Methodology and sampling

Dunoon is located 5 kilometres north of Marconi Beam and is popular because of its proximity to Cape Town's industrial centre and CBD and the fact that there was perceived to be (until fairly recently) less crime and violence in Dunoon, than some of the bigger townships (McDonald, 2000). The first erf for Dunoon was surveyed in January 1996 and there are currently 3026 erven (email correspondence, Blaauwberg sub council). As the formal housing was built, shacks mushroomed in the area, particularly along the river and in the area known as site 5 (see map)- which is particularly notorious for being a hive of criminal activity. Local community leader and councillor, Peace Stimela, says that he, together with a construction company, estimate that there are currently 18000 people living in Dunoon, meaning that the population has doubled since the 2001 census data below. Mr Stimela says that it was in approximately 2004, that a huge influx of people began arriving in Dunoon, adding to local frustrations. The learners and a police reservist, interviewed in this study, corroborated this assertion. The area known as site five (see map above), emerged in the last few years, consists exclusively of informal housing and it can be observed that many of the plots in Dunoon have informal 'Wendy houses' alongside the RDP houses. This information buttresses the evidence that the population of Dunoon has escalated in recent times, although there may well not be as much of an influx as Mr Stimela suggests.

Qualitative methodology, in particular informal discussions and semi-structured, in-depth interviews, were utilised to excavate the range of attitudes, understandings and normative values that are associated with different kinds of violence, in Dunoon. I approached the only high school in Dunoon, called Inkwenkwezi. The deputy principal was very helpful in agreeing to the research taking place during the period of Life Orientation, in which learners are supposed to complete a module on violence, as part of the curriculum. In total, 11 discussion groups and 9 individual interviews were conducted with grade 11 learners at Inkwenkwezi High. The learners were shown a short documentary on the events of May 2008, entitled 'Baraka', which is set in Masiphumelele, a neighbourhood in southern Cape Town that is similar in demographic, social and economic terms to Dunoon. The documentary lasted 20 minutes and the classes were then split into discussion groups of approximately 10 learners each.

The discussion groups were facilitated by the researcher and three trained, Xhosa speaking fieldworkers. Some of the discussions were therefore conducted in English, but most utilised Xhosa as the medium for discussion. Discussion groups probed whether the learners agreed or disagreed with the behaviour and attitudes of the people portrayed in the documentary, a comparison between Masiphumelele, the area in the film, and the Dunoon settlement and an extensive dialogue on the events that took place on the week end of 23-25 May 2008. Experiences of crime and violence in Dunoon, in general, were also discussed. It should be noted that the themes which emerged from these sessions were similar, despite using different languages and facilitators, for different groups. In the discussion groups learners were asked to give their telephone numbers if they were interested in participating in an individual interview. Participants for the individual interviews were partly chosen at random, from these lists of telephone numbers. I also intentionally chose three individuals, for these individual interviews, based on their enthusiastic and thoughtful participation in the discussion groups. I conducted the individual interviews in English. The learners' excellent English aided this process. Individual interviews took place on benches at a petrol station near Dunoon, or at the Steers fast food restaurant, also nearby. Learners were compensated with R30 vouchers for Pick n Pay supermarket, in exchange for their participation. The individual interviews included informal conversation on the participants' background, the events of May 2008 and general experiences of crime and violence. In addition, interviews were conducted with the principal of the school, police officers who were present during the attacks, a journalist, community leader/councillor and a police reservist, who lives in Dunoon.

Interviews were recorded with digital voice-recorders and then transcribed and translated. The material was then analysed using a thorough thematic analysis,

looking for themes which appeared consistently in the discussion groups and interviews. Material in this paper comes from both the discussion groups and the individual interviews.

The census data, table 3, indicates that the learners who participated in this study may well be more educated than the Dunoon population in general. In 2001, 41% of the Dunoon population did not progress further than grade 7, with a further 39% obtaining grades 8-11. If the grade 11 learners in this study go on to complete matric in 2009, they will therefore be amongst the 20% most educated people in Dunoon. The sample is skewed in this way and may result in more educated responses from participants. The desires and ambitions of these learners may also be greater than the average resident, as these learners could realistically use their education to find skilled employment and a higher income than the average Dunoon resident.

Summary of the key findings

Three main discursive themes emerged from the research: participants described themselves as 'Black/Xhosa'; they explained how they are modern, urban citizens and, finally, these youths justified the violent looting of Somali shops as understandable because 'the people are hungry'. I will use analysis from the first two themes to argue that the attacks involve more than a simple need for food, as stated in the third theme. Even though stealing from Somali shops was explained with descriptions of literal 'hunger', behind this 'legitimising narrative' is a deeper set of sentiments related to what a group of the urban poor feel that they deserve, especially in relation to others in their environments, whose situation is deemed to be somewhat illegitimate.

Young people in Dunoon state that they are 'black' and Xhosa

'We', for the young people of Dunoon, was partially based on localised notions of being 'black' and 'Xhosa' and not 'South African'. 'Blackness', in this context, was used to explain differences between South Africans and foreign nationals, especially Somalis. These differences were based on perceived wealth, education, skills and the degree to which people struggle to survive and succeed in life. Whilst there was much disagreement as to whether this hierarchy, understood in racial terms, was legitimate or exploitative, participants agreed that 'black' people were less prosperous than foreign nationals.

In the research, a ‘black’ racialised identity was consistently used to describe different groups of South Africans, even though the term ‘South African’ was used very rarely:

When they say black in Xhosa they say umto umyama, when they say umto umyama they refer to Xhosa, Zulu, Sotho, Tswana and all those, they don't refer to the foreigners as we call them. If they say foreigners they say people from upper Africa or kweri kweri

In the quote above, ‘blackness’ is used to differentiate certain groups of South Africans from people born elsewhere in Africa. The groups mentioned above are not referred to in nationalistic terms, but are united around a common ‘racial’ identity, including some groups of people who struggled against apartheid. ‘Blackness’ was therefore often used by learners, in Dunoon, to describe certain groups of South Africans, although evidence of a united national identity was not apparent. One participant explained the different groups that went to meet on the field in Dunoon, before violence broke out, in the following way:

P: The people all went to the field, the Somalians and the Nigerians and the Zimbabweans and the black people and the coloureds, and they were discussing about firing the Somalians. To take them back to their countries. So when the meeting didn't start, the people just go and break their shops.

Blackness, in this context, refers to groups of South African people who suffered under apartheid, but does not include ‘coloured’ people. Somalis, Nigerians and Zimbabweans are also categorised as distinct from ‘black people’. Zwele Jolobe, states that understanding usages of ‘blackness’ requires a thorough excavation of the contexts in which the term is used, starting with Biko. When Steve Biko spoke of ‘we blacks’ (an essay contained in “I write what I like”), he was referring to all people who are oppressed (Ratele, 2003). However, it is not true that black South Africans will ubiquitously refer to themselves as ‘black’ and refer to foreign nationals as, for example, Somalis, Angolans or Nigerians. The use of the term is contingent to the context, the specific South Africans using the term and the historical period (Jolobe, personal communication, 3 November 2008).

Usages of ‘blackness’ are therefore linked to local factors, such as class, politics, economics and residential structure and these social forces shape what it means to be ‘black’ (Gilroy, 1995). Writing in a different context, Gilroy (1995) describes British race politics as inseparable from those factors mentioned above, things which are infused in the inner-city and urban life and often related to perceptions of ‘lawlessness’ and ‘criminality’. ‘Blackness’ in Britain is integral to the production of urban social geography. In this setting, British

'black' people use colour to articulate urban experiences related to exclusion from Britain and Britishness, a diverse experience that differs for people of Ethiopian, Caribbean and Asian descent.

Exploring the local Dunoon class, economic, political and residential structures sheds light on how 'blackness' is used by participants in the current study. These local relations are hinted at in the reference to "firing" the Somalis, as if people can simply dismiss foreign nationals in the same way that an employer terminates an employee's services. It is the Somalis and not the Nigerians or Zimbabweans, who are to be 'fired'. In Dunoon, Somalis own most, if not all of the local retail outlets, possibly generating the most resentment (de Vries, Samsodien, Kemp & Bailey, 2008). This metaphorical 'firing' is not irrelevant, as many of the divisions and tensions between 'us' (black and Xhosa) and 'them' (other Africans, particularly Somalis), were described in terms of the local Dunoon economy and how it has changed with the influx of foreigners:

S: these people have their way of stocking that they are using, but a Xhosa won't know that. It is like the foreigners stock in one day and they divide the stock among them. So Xhosas won't know that okay, let us do this so that our prices become less so that we get a nice income, they don't know what other people do and they are jealousy about that.

and

O: Somalis put money together and buy stuff for that one, and again buy for another one. Somalis are helping each other, and so there is no helping each other with black people, they only do for themselves....a black person is jealousy when seeing another black succeed, so Somalis are not jealousy of each other – they are happy for each other when their businesses are succeeding, that's why their businesses are progressing.

and

N: Black people they don't know how to make a business, they have experience those foreigners, they know how to make a money.

In the quotes above, local business practices are interchangeably racialised and ethnicised. 'Us' black/Xhosa people are perceived as inferior, in terms of the ability to run a small business. This was sometimes described as due to a lack of skills required to make minor entrepreneurial ventures successful. A similar situation exists in Los Angeles, where one in three Koreans operate a small

family business, many of which are located in poor African American neighbourhoods (Park, 1996). Korean immigrants have entered into the local economy due to low start up costs, social capital, a rotating credit system and a good Korean education. This has led to conflict between these two groups, due to different experiences of class and racism, financial opportunities and social mobility (Park, 1996). Similar dynamics, between South Africans and Somali shop owners, based on perceptions of wealth, skills and education, have also led to conflict

The learners' opinions above indicate that the Somali traders' success is due to their collectivist practices and the mentality of the Somali minority, factors which aid them in business, as they are able to buy stock collectively, at reduced rates. There was also an emotional dimension to this process, as 'Xhosas/blacks' were often described as jealous of other 'black' peoples' economic triumphs. Ramphela (2002) states that jealousy and distrust are common in Lower Crossroads, another poor African township. She states that levels of trust are linked to the availability of basic resources; as competition for these resources increases, so does distrust. Ramphela (2002) also demonstrates, through case studies, how envy and resentment of success demotivate young people from transcending the socio-economic environments in which they grow up. Similarly, although Bray, Gooskens, Khan, Moses & Seekings (forthcoming 2010) found evidence of people in Masiphumelele supporting one another through informal neighbourly networks, these ties had limits, expressed through jealousy, gossiping and accusations. Jealousy and resentment are therefore part of the social relations which exist in poor South African townships. The fact that it is 'black' people who are accused of harbouring these feelings, may be due to the fact that they have fewer resources and competition between individuals may be more rife, than for shopkeepers in poor areas. It may also be due to minority groups, such as Somalis, helping individuals within the group, whereas majority groups are competitive amongst themselves.

Learners often referred to their 'ingroup' as 'Xhosa', in addition to being 'black' (see the first quote above). This may be due to this population's shared Eastern Cape heritage, where Xhosa is the dominant language. It may also be used to distinguish between themselves and other African peoples, differences which are substantially linguistic. To illustrate this point, the derogatory term "makwerekwere" comes from the Sotho word for 'incompetent speech' (Comaroff & Comaroff, 2001). The young people may, therefore, call themselves Xhosa in order to differentiate between those that speak Xhosa ('us') and those that cannot speak this language ('them').

Participants therefore described differences between ‘black/Xhosa’ people and ‘Somali/foreign’ shopkeepers. These differences were linked to race, people’s skills and abilities and were described as embedded in Dunoon’s local economic and social life. In this context, blackness was consistently associated with being ‘worse off’. There was, however, debate as to whether this was due to people’s own inadequacies or illegitimate exploitation. Disagreement ensued, between learners, as to whether Somalis should be entitled to conduct small enterprises within the local township economy and purchase stock communally. The following discussion illustrates these different opinions:

S: I don’t see anything wrong there, if is a Xhosa he can have a business ... maybe opening a shebeen here and have a shop there and the same person, but if you open that shop you are not going to register it on your name so that people know that it is yours, you register it with your child or your brother so that in the end ... but with Baraka’s they register all their shops with their names, and it is known that all those shops belong to him. And that is not a problem cause it is his money and it is growing of his business and he thought he should open other shops, so I don’t see anything (wrong)

N: I want to disagree with what Sipho has said. It is not about having more money and that a person must have many businesses, but it’s about giving everybody a chance so he can be in that position of having a business. You cannot be one and own the whole South Africa with businesses.

S: Okay, maybe I give you Nokothula a chance, while I’m giving you a chance and you don’t come up yet, and I must wait for and don’t get money, keep waiting for you. How many people should I wait for?

B: so D what do you say?

D: People of Masiphumelele were not right because if they had a chance that they can have many businesses, they could and not being complained for.

O: They complained because their shops are not running, they are supposed to complain. Their shops are not running these people have less prices, and they have many shops.

Some of the learners felt that the business practices utilised by Somalis were within the ‘rules of the game’. They point out that if a Xhosa person employed similar tactics, it would go unnoticed. A notion of individual rights within a competitive market-economy is asserted as fair and just, by some learners, and these liberties are extended to foreign nationals. Others felt that foreign nationals’ economic ventures were unfair and that there should be some kind of ‘regulation of the market’. These learners expressed concern that the Somalis had monopolised the local economy, such that not ‘everybody is given a fair

chance'. Following this logic, the rules of the game need to be altered, as these learners felt that 'we are oppressed and suffering', under the current circumstances. This is refuted as not realistic, in the dialogue above, as Siphos states that it is not possible to balance commercial practices and the welfare/equality of the entire populace.

It is therefore implied, in the above argument, that some of the learners want foreign nationals' business interests to be monitored, but not those of South African citizens. Citizenship comprises more than legal rights that individuals are guaranteed by the state; it includes the conditions which are necessary for individuals and households to sustain themselves socially and economically (von Lieres & Robins, 2008). In other words, citizenship is construed, by some scholars (see for example Turner, 1993), as a set of social practices which interpolate individuals as members of society and which, in turn, shape the distribution of resources to people and groups. This notion of citizenship- as related to social and economic rights- was utilised by some learners in Dunoon, who felt that Somali business practices were illegitimate because these practices negatively shape the structural conditions that South African citizens need to thrive. In so doing, these participants claim their citizenship through these attitudes and actions, alienating Somalis from 'our community'. The Somalis, in the eyes of some learners, are not perceived as sharing in the same rights as black South Africans, such as the freedom to conduct small businesses in any manner of their choosing.

This 'exclusion' is also apparent from the manner in which the learner refers to the Somali shopkeepers as 'Barakas', borrowing terminology from the film that they were shown, but clearly grouping shop owners in townships as a collectivity and not as individual people or citizens of the community. This is underlined by the fact that another participant refers to 'these people'. In the minds of these learners there were definitive differences between themselves and Somalis, differences that are assumed to be cultural, economic and 'racial'. Somalis are seen as shop owners and 'outsiders', not as individuals or incorporated members of the local community. These exclusionary sentiments indicate why others learners were more militant in their rejection of Somali business practices (although it should be noted that this opinion was in the minority):

S: I think that they block for the black people not being able to sell, so they make many shops.

A: I say the reason for the people to complain is perhaps...I'm also with Siseko, because people...we also want to learn so that we open our own shops

And

L: You see that guy is right, because these people I don't see them right people, they must go...Independence here was fought for by our parents and they were not here. So why are they running around the right place and not fight for their country until it became right? There was war even here but we never ran. And look how these people come here, they are here illegally. They are right the ones who have permits of staying here, but there are those who just came. Listen to that woman there she said she had a business, her business ended because it is full of these people here. That woman was not suffering, and now she got help from the Somali's the money for rent, but before they came she was helping herself. They must leave permanently, they must go, alles, I'm talking about all of them.

N: Thabo what do you say?

T: I say they must go because as that woman said she was running her own business, but couldn't continue because of these Somali's, cause these Somali's when I... it doesn't seem they are doing the business of selling only, cause they stock in one place with these black people who were having businesses before. But they lower prices, it is clear that they don't want gain but there's ... they are just playing a game about these shops...

N: so perhaps there's something else are they selling?

T: there must be something else they are selling because when they pricing their things they are like they don't buy those things, so they are not expecting any profit.

The legitimacy of foreign nationals' presence is rejected with the rhetoric that 'our parents' fought for 'our' independence. The 'we' here is clearly linked to people who suffered under apartheid and their children who, it is implied, should reap the benefits of the new democracy. The 'us' and 'them' are therefore perceived in relation to different national struggles in Africa; not a pan-African fight to overcome oppression. It is puzzling that this rejection of other Africans' struggles exists alongside discourses of an 'African renaissance' and 'ubuntu', within the South African context (Comaroff & Comaroff, 2001). The boundaries of the South African nation-state are therefore drawn in relation to the apartheid struggle, illustrating how the South African people came into being through the politics and culture of resistance to apartheid, in the form of the National

Liberation Movement (Chipkin, 2007). Neocosmos (2006) goes a step further, arguing that resistance to the apartheid state, in the form of a National Liberation Movement, is directly linked with xenophobia in contemporary South Africa. This is due to the fact that nation-building and citizenship, in the post apartheid era, are based on historical 'struggle' identities, which are exclusionary towards foreign nationals. The attitudes displayed by some of the learners in Dunoon, such as those quoted above, support this view.

In the quote above there are also undertones associating foreign nationals with crime. "They are here illegally" implies all of 'them' have broken the law by their mere presence. The second learner perceives a more sinister plot, involving business as simply a front for other forms of implied illegal activities. This buys into the prejudiced stereotype of foreign nationals (especially Nigerians) as drug dealers and criminals. The statement that South Africa is 'full of these people' illustrates the media frenzy around a 'flood' or a 'wave' of immigrants, using natural metaphors of the influx being out of control (Danso & McDonald, 2000; Nyamoh, 2006; Harris, 2002). While post-colonial nation-states have for a very long time received a steady flow of human, animal and vegetable migrants, the current alarm around their presence demonstrates an unprecedented collective panic (Comaroff & Comaroff, 2001).

Some learners therefore perceived foreign nationals in a pejorative light, linking their presence to criminality and a threat to 'indigenous' people's prosperity. The notion of 'blackness' was understood in the context of the local Dunoon economy, whereby a certain group of people, who claim a history of struggling against apartheid, feel that they are enduring hardship- either through a lack of skills or due to illegitimate exploitation. Regardless of the truth of either of these scenarios, this group feel that they are being placed at the bottom of the social hierarchy. As the Dunoon councillor stated in an interview:

Whereas we know we are Africans, coloureds, Indians, but now particularly, suffering people in South Africa is the blacks. For instance you can see next to here, you can see discrimination in the Western Cape still exist, you can go in one industry and count how many blacks inside. You will see two, three. The most is coloureds.

This quote illustrates the interviewee's connection between being 'black' and suffering and how this association is not, in the minds of many participants in this study, extended to other groups, for example coloured people. Some learners used this notion of economic deprivation linked to race to explain the outbreak of violence:

A: I think the xenophobia happened because of poverty. Because those people who are doing the xenophobia they are black people and they are living in poverty.

The division of ‘us’- black , Xhosa people versus ‘them’- Somalis, is therefore largely constructed around who is suffering, the legitimacy of this suffering and how the contours of these identities are sculpted by the local, informal township economy. Commercial inequalities are seen in highly localised terms, such as the manner in which Somali shopkeepers, in Dunoon, have effectively undercut local businesses, through practices like collective stocking. The ruthless competition inherent in the township informal economy leads to frustrations and scapegoating, especially when those perceived to be ‘outsiders’ may have more skills, education and capital, than local people. Local notions of ‘blackness’ are understood within these urban social dynamics, with this racial identity associated with connotations of a lack of success, being poor and having limited access to skills and education. This has created resentment and bitterness amongst some South African citizens, such as many of the learners who participated in this study. These commercial dynamics are very public. As one enters Dunoon a line of shops appears, similar to an English high street, with luggage, electronic equipment, such as televisions, and clothes, being sold. This may create an illusion of the exaggerated wealth of foreign nationals and may explain why, in one study, 61% of South Africans said that foreign nationals should not be allowed to start small businesses (Crush, 2008).

Young people in Dunoon aspire to be modern and urban

The responses from the learners at Inkwenkwezi moved beyond racial and ethnic notions of identity, in the form of ‘we are blacks/Xhosas’, to a second main theme: these young people described ‘us’ as ‘modern, urban and consumers’. According to Chipkin (2007), values related to modernity are integral components of African nationalism, in general, which aims to attain a specific type of post-colony, one that transcends kinship, ethnicity and supernatural cosmology and is both urban and secular. In South Africa, liberation was not only based on ending apartheid, but on providing individuals with rights that allow one to function in a modern democratic state.

This notion of an individual in a modern democratic state also informed the ‘we’ for the learners of Dunoon and the kind of life they envision themselves leading. All of the participants in the individual interviews came from the rural Eastern Cape, rendering them migrants in their own way. They did not dismiss the Eastern Cape as an undesirable place, or deny the influence this region has had

on their development. However, the difference between Cape Town and the Eastern Cape is typified by:

Over there it is the rural areas but over here it is some kind of citizen areas.

Citizens have certain rights and responsibilities, as well as (supposedly) access to a specific kind of lifestyle. This lifestyle was alluded to in the participants' descriptions of the advantages of being in Cape Town:

I: How is it different?

S: Like, here in Cape Town, if I can think, people are coming here for business. People are coming here for jobs, there's lots of places, (pause), lots of jobs, as much as they are taxis. And, like, in Mtanzani, there not much places like there is in Cape Town. And in terms of money, and in terms of, the way I think, in Mtanzani, things are happening. Round hut, yeah. Yeah, (pause), in Transkei they live in rural areas, and then someway somehow they don't have, uh, (pause), electricity, near water. And even the taverns, there are not too much taverns in Transkei, and Mtanzani, as, as much as in Cape Town. In Cape Town, you see like, ah! This is the life, mos. Cause you feel like, you comes and enjoy yourself when you're in Cape Town. In Transkei the thing that you are doing, you're just following the cows there. Go with the cows, you take the cows and you go home, and in the morning, you do like one thing in the day. You see? Maybe after school, you go and do that. Tomorrow, it's the same story. But then here, you go to school, maybe you go to play soccer some, sometimes. You go, maybe, you on the parties, like, go in these club, clubs. Go to clubs or you go do whatever. That's why I do think there is a difference between Mtanzani, and, uh, Cape Town.

Urban Cape Town is described as the epitome of modernity, a reservoir of employment, money, transport and opportunities that offer the potential for a better life. There is an assumption, in the response above, that once in Cape Town, one has sufficient income to engage in all of these recreational activities and that there are ample employment opportunities for the entire populace. Services, such as water and electricity, are apparently made readily available to people in urban areas, unlike their rural counterparts. The quality of life is therefore described as far superior in urban Cape Town. This is due to one's income, opportunities, as well as a diversity of activities, venues and potential interactions with relevant others. By contrast, the rural Eastern Cape is described as a stagnant, agricultural environment, mundane and repetitive in its existence, with only livestock offered as company.

This city lifestyle described by the learners is accompanied by an urban culture based on rampant consumerism, restricted to certain elite spaces. For example, Cape Town's many shopping malls were constantly referred to:

M: like if we want to travel to the mall, we are going to take a taxi, it is easier to go there. When we are in Eastern Cape, we have to wait for special bakkies, so that you have to wait till that time, you know, so that if you miss that time you know that you are not going anywhere

I: and why else do you think the people are happy in Dunoon?

M: there are a lot of works, there many malls here (compared to Queenstown [in the Eastern Cape]). They are going out in ...here in CT there are many towns, they are going Tableview, Century, but in Queenstown you have only the town

I: So everyone goes to the mall?

M: Yes

I: What do they buy at the mall?

M: Food. We are buying some chocolates, chips, or going to the movie and watching the movies.

It would surely be much cheaper to remain in Dunoon and eat chips and chocolates, without having to pay for transportation to the mall. However, this would not fulfil the desire to be an individual in a modern industrial state, with all of the rights, freedoms and choices that are perceived to accompany this kind of society and are embodied by 'the mall'. Dunoon is situated in the middle of a hub of shopping centres, including all of the northern suburbs malls, such as N1 city, Tygervalley, Century city, as well as all of the malls in the Cape Town city centre. These spaces are therefore far more accessible for young people from Dunoon, than for township youth in Khayelitsha, Gugulethu or Langa. Young people from Dunoon describe these malls as exciting spaces, filled with all of the icons which are symbolic of wealth, prosperity and a middle-class lifestyle, far more desirable than the township, which is deemed to be dull and symbolic of the struggles of one's parents.

Similar findings have been documented elsewhere. Nkuna (2006) states that although some shopping malls are dominated by values of rampant materialism and consumerism, young people still invest a considerable amount of interest in the meaning attached to different brands, images and products. There is also extensive social interaction in the mall, taking place in coffee shops and restaurants. Youth are not simply passive recipients of this lifestyle, they actively and, sometimes, consciously engage in this culture (Nkuna, 2006). Although Nkuna's (2006) discussion pertains mainly to middle-class Johannesburg youth, interviews with township youth from Dunoon indicate that

these individuals may also use urban spaces, like the mall, to reconstruct themselves as modern, urban citizens in the new South Africa.

Similarly, Bray et al (forthcoming, 2010) describe how, in mapping exercises, young people in Ocean View and Masiphumelele drew elaborate pictures of shopping malls, despite these places usually being fairly inaccessible to township youth. The shops were often named and painted in colours appropriate to their branding. These drawings were in stark contrast to the drawings of local neighbourhoods, which contained little detail and were usually coloured red to signify danger. Young people in Bray et al's (forthcoming, 2010) study described making excuses, to their parents, in order to go to the mall, such as the need to check bank balances or run errands, and time at the mall whether shopping, window shopping or hanging out, was portrayed as highly enjoyable. The open economy and images projected by the media, in democratic South Africa, have therefore created a consumer culture where class differences are highly visible. Young people from poor neighbourhoods attempt to assuage these differences through lifestyle choices, purchasing commodities in the form of brands and labels and spending time in spaces like the mall, in order to obtain social inclusion.

The urban lifestyle, observed in township youths' descriptions of shopping malls, brands and commodities, is therefore perceived to be part of a process in which the 'we' are continually, urbanising, modernising and expecting to rise in status and quality of life:

P: Yeah. Understanding South Africa is to know, like, why, are you, why do live at Western Cape? Why do people leave from Eastern Cape to Western Cape? Is to understand, like, the history of South Africa. Why are the people, why there is, there is white people living, like living in towns, living in places like Constantia, and we live in townships? If you know that and you understand that. Yeah. You, you know what is, you know what is South Africa. You have to know the life you used to live before you lived this life and the life you're going, going to live in future. So, like, you understand South Africa, you are not going to complain, going to say nah, how can, how can I go to school, my parents don't have money for me to go to tertiary levels. You're going to give up easy on things but if you know, like, we coming from, we coming from a place where it was very harder than this. You can tolerate. Yeah.

The Dunoon youths' understanding of the process of urbanisation is based on a belief that things have improved- both from the perspective of apartheid's demise and the fact that one is no longer located in the poverty stricken, rural,

Eastern Cape. This brings to mind the ruling ANC's slogan, "a better life for all", implying that the new democratic state is associated with modern, urban living, for the entire populace. Migration to the Western Cape, and Cape Town in particular, is based on a belief that this move involves progress, in terms of one's position in the social structure and quality of life. By contrast, the life that 'we' lived before is described as a rural, 'tribal' existence and one that was based on oppression, within the apartheid regime.

There is therefore a belief that although historical processes systematically restricted black people to rural areas, and townships, and kept places like Constantia, one of the most elite, lush, formerly white suburbs in Cape Town, reserved for white people, 'we are moving towards Constantia'. Constantia symbolises 'the life you are going to live in the future'. The quote above implies that circumstances have improved and that this is a reason for motivation towards even further upward mobility. Tertiary level education is perceived to be a spring-board into a new urban, elite class and this may now be a realistic ambition for some township youth. To the youth quoted above, understanding 'South Africa' means acknowledging the historical circumstances that have previously acted as a bulwark in our oppression. Concurrently, understanding the country also means believing that the future, for 'us', holds much promise. But this movement towards improved living conditions happens slowly and many people may begin to become frustrated with the pace of change, something of which the May 2008 attacks on foreign nationals are indicative.

'White' people, unlike African foreign nationals, are seen as aiding this modernising project, an asset to 'black' people aspiring to enter this type of life:

P: What are they (foreign nationals) bringing in SA? (Pause). Cause, like, they don't have problem with white people, because white people they have, like, they're civilizing, and they bringing new things, and new experiences in SA, and these people, these people are just doing their businesses. They're hustling like black people, you know? So, they differ because they know they're learning something from white people, and they don't learn nothing from these people. They just losing something.

I: What are they learning from white people?

P: Yeah, cause they have, like, white people they all got business ideas, most of them. Someone comes from, comes from London with a business idea. He's coming here to implement the idea and he's employing people from townships. So, would you like your boss to go away if you were that person, working for that person? That's why they not telling them to go. But these people, they just like them. If that person owns the business comes to the township, is looking for people, he's taking these two South African people, and these Angolian two

people. Now, this other people who are South African people, like, who are not getting that job, they see that, no these people from these other countries, they are occupying our spaces from these people.

I: What do you mean, that the white people have a civilizing...

P: Yeah. Yeah. Before, before there were white people here in SA, we had no TVs. We had like no radios. There were no media. We didn't know about businesses. Like selling things. We used to do tradings. If you have, if you, if you have a horse, I give you a horse, you give me a cow. We used to do like that. But people now, if you have many, if you have many cows now, you can sell your cows. You don't actually have to get a horse. So, like, white people brought things like selling, having cars, like, living in houses. Many things, cause like, civilization in Africa came here, came here with like, white people. (Pause). White people play a big part in civilization. I see you with this thing (pointing to interviewer's digital voice recorder). I thought of buying this thing. See? I see you with a cell phone. We thought of buying cell phones. We see you white people with, uh, (pause) PDAs, now we have PDAs. With laptops, now we have laptops. With computers, we want computers. Because you see, when you see something that is useful, you cannot want something that you don't know. So, the more people from other countries that come in here, in SA, the more we learn new, new things, and we get new things, new experiences. So, we civilizing.

White people, many of whom are also migrants, are deemed to be part of this project of living as an individual in a modern industrial society (Nyamnjoh, 2006). This is based on their apparent technological sophistication, corporate savvy and a form of intellectual development. These 'white' people may come from London or Cape Town, but they are perceived to be creating jobs- as opposed to using capital to exploit cheap labour- jobs which the learners said are being intercepted by African foreign nationals, such as Angolans. White people are assumed to be both a part of offering employment opportunities and in helping 'us' live the modern life that we desire. This is despite 'white' peoples' abominable historical record in this regard, in the form of centuries of colonialism and decades of apartheid.

On the other hand, African foreign nationals are 'hustling' like black people, meaning that they are struggling to survive and need to be quick, competitive and street-wise, in order to be successful. African foreign nationals often provide direct competition in terms of labour and, as multiple participants mentioned, many foreigners are even prepared to work for lower wages. Whilst innovative entrepreneurial practices by African foreign nationals, such as

collective stock purchasing, are dismissed as illegitimate, 'white' peoples' use of labour and technology is interpreted as philanthropic. 'Civilising' has racist connotations from a colonial past, where Africa and its people were perceived to be 'backward and barbaric'. However, this 'civilising' discourse fits with aspirations to urbanise and modernise that resonate with many township youth. These sentiments may have been exaggerated in an interview with a white interviewer and his electronic devices. However, it became apparent that these township youth identified with the lifestyle they perceived 'white' people to be living and not that of township-based foreign nationals and their 'hustling'.

The desire to become modern, urban citizens was apparent through the interviews and discussion groups, as depicted in the quotations above. This yearning was illustrated in the recreational activities and spaces described by learners, talk of where they have come from, their future ambitions and the lifestyle which they would like to live. Although many realise that these aspirations cannot be accomplished immediately, there is a belief that they will take place in the future. However, African foreign nationals are perceived to be hampering those ambitions, as they provide competition in terms of labour and they are apparently not aiding 'us' in attaining the life 'we' imagine living.

Young people in Dunoon rationalise attacks through literal 'hunger': "they didn't kill them they only took their food".

In this final analysis section I will explore how the themes from the two previous sections, that 'we' are simultaneously 'black, Xhosa, modern, urban citizens', relate to the attacks on Somali shopkeepers. Even though learners stated that the violent looting was due to a need for food, there is evidence, from the previous two themes, which indicates that the attacks were actually related to something more than simple 'belly hunger'. This 'hunger' was also used metaphorically to infer that these youth are 'hungry' for a different life, one which includes all of the privileges inherent in a middle-class lifestyle and which, some of these learners feel, Somali shopkeepers are closer to attaining than themselves. It should be noted that in almost all of the discussion groups the learners condemned the negative attitudes and malicious actions towards foreign nationals. There was only one group in which participants verbally expressed that they thought foreigners should leave South Africa. However, the learners simultaneously explained the violence as understandable, due to 'us' being 'black and suffering'. To reiterate a quote used earlier, one learner said:

A: I think the xenophobia happened because of poverty. Because those people who are doing the xenophobia they are black people and they are living in poverty.

Although many respondents claimed that the urban life they lead is based on an abundance of employment, services and a consumer lifestyle, when asked why the conflict occurred, many stated that it was because of poverty and the fact that 'people were hungry'. This contradiction: that Cape Town is described as both a hub of prosperity, as well as poverty-stricken, may indicate the difference between expectations and actual lived experiences. In other words, descriptions of Cape Town, as having an excess of employment, housing and services, may be based on expectations people have, especially when they arrive from, and in comparison to, the rural Eastern Cape. The learners' description of Cape Town as a modern paradise, may therefore be based on an imaginary Cape Town, of which this cohort desire to be a part, as opposed to their daily lived realities. Alternatively, these discrepancies in portrayals of the city could also be due to the context of the description. 'We live in poverty' may be used to justify collective looting, whereas, in a different context, 'we live in prosperity' may be used to gain respect and dignity, especially in relation to a white interviewer.

There was also a resounding belief, despite condemning the attacks, that what occurred in Dunoon was not 'xenophobia' or very serious, as foreigners were not physically hurt and they were 'allowed' to return to Dunoon:

Y: yes it was caused by hunger and I can support my statement. I recall here in Dunoon... I can't remember any foreigner who was beaten, what I realised they were taking their things. After their things were finished they were released and it was not even a week they came back to Dunoon. If they wanted to kill the foreigner they would have done that. They didn't want to kill them they only wanted their food. They did have a chance to kill them if they wanted to do so. They only took their food.

And

A: What is xenophobia

B: When you dislike someone whose from another country, it wasn't about that, it wasn't about like, people who come from Somalia and all that stuff...it was all about the food and stuff, cause they go into their shops and take their things. I think the real people to blame are our leaders, cause they should have came here to Dunoon and addressed the people , tried to calm them down you know.... I

wouldn't say it was a strong dislike of people from other countries, it's just poverty. I was part of those people who were against it. I wouldn't call it xenophobia cause it wasn't even close

The actions taken by the 'black, Xhosa' people of Dunoon were often described as legitimate, based on their socio-economic circumstances. The learners explained that the conflict with foreign nationals was related to 'food, hunger and poverty' and these three words were mentioned repeatedly. Journalist Bronwynne Jooste, interviewing young men in Nyanga (one of Cape Town's formal townships) found similar sentiments, as one young man said:

You must remember the people here they are poor, they are hungry. I saw them carrying all the meat and chicken out of the shops. Later that night we made a braai and ate it. It was a crime, yes, but that day was just a way for the people to get stuff they can't buy themselves. (www.iol.co.za. 1 June 2008)

Like in Nyanga, stealing food from foreign nationals' shops, in Dunoon, was interpreted as a legitimate activity, considering 'black' people's daily circumstances. Many participants felt that foreign nationals should feel grateful for being 'spared', as they 'could have killed them if they wanted to' and they were 'released'. This rhetoric implies that foreign nationals do not automatically have rights and should feel fortunate to be granted certain liberties, liberties which citizens are assumed to possess. This illustrates the point that citizenship is not necessarily bestowed by the state or a set of legal statutes, but is negotiated in a diverse set of daily practices and places (von Lieres & Robins, 2008).

However, these actions that took place in Dunoon were not classified as 'xenophobia' by the learners, a term that was associated with violence and verbalised hatred towards a specific group. It was often said that what happened in Johannesburg was 'xenophobia', but what happened in Dunoon, and Cape Town more generally, was far less serious, almost like a 'joke'. It "wasn't even close" to xenophobia, indicates the difference between what these respondents saw happening on television in Johannesburg, such as the most notorious image of one Mozambiquan man being burnt alive and what transpired in Dunoon. 'Xenophobia' was condemned as unacceptable violence and hatred, whereas what happened in Dunoon was apparently justifiable, considering the socio-economic circumstances in which 'black Xhosa' people live. Simultaneously, participants always clarified that they personally condemn what happened in Dunoon.

Even though the violence was not classified by locals as 'xenophobia' and was explained in terms of a need to eat, there appeared to be more to the attacks on

foreign nationals than a simple ‘pain in the stomach’. As has been mentioned, the soup kitchen in Dunoon was discontinued because people did not like the woman who ran it, learners asked me for coca-cola during interviews and not food and the streets of Dunoon are filled, in the evenings, with market stalls where people sell food. As one learner said:

M: but they're not hungry in that we need to eat now, now.

I: Mmm.

M: It's because (pause) when they suffer financially, so most of them are like unemployed. Ok. What's best for them to do is to like, do what they did. You know, to get what they want. Then it's inevitable to get what they want. Which is not solving anything. (pause). Also, I think the (inaudible) of not employed, and eish, I also think, it also does affect that.

The ‘hunger’ iterated by the learners is not completely encapsulated by a literal ‘rumbling stomach’; it is also linked to perceptions of socio-economic circumstances that create ‘suffering’, stress, insecurity and disappointment for ‘us’, while Somalis’ are perceived to enjoy a relatively comfortable lifestyle. The looting was described as a justified temporary alleviation of these circumstances. Although stealing is not perceived as a sustainable solution to the problem, it is assessed as a legitimate option at a given moment and the ‘inevitability’ is linked to the security of knowing one can benefit from these actions and, to a degree, take control of one’s life. It is interesting that people did not fear law-enforcement agents. This may be due to the fact that looting of shops was carried out by groups and that some participants said police were complicit, and even participated, in the looting of foreign owned shops. But it is also indicative of the fact that the learners feel that the rights local people have, allows them to take from those whose status and situation is assessed to be illegitimately prosperous.

The same participant expressed these socio-economic circumstances, which contributed to the conflict, in the following way in the discussion group:

M: it's more like the situation of poverty (giggles), no Somalian was killed, no Somalian was killed, you know that? They only took their food (laughs) their shops are like packed with rice and they can't afford to buy their food and taxes are too much and prices are increasing and petrols and everything and the cost of living is getting higher and higher, the xenophobia is taking place in Joburg... they not really, they just taking things from Somalians, they didn't hit one Somalian they only took their food (laughs).

They ‘only’ took their food illustrates the participant’s belief that the actions taken by the people of Dunoon were not malicious and are understandable when viewed from the context of the ‘poverty’ that is oppressing people and the rights to which these people should be entitled. The Somalis are also assessed as having excessive amounts of food, with their shops described as ‘packed’, meaning that they could spare some for the people who were starving. The conflict between the people of Dunoon and the foreign nationals is therefore understood as due to socio-economic circumstances and is not related to ‘hatred’ or genuine violence, which is dismissed as only occurring in far-off Johannesburg. ‘The people’ are vindicated in their actions, due to their difficult context. It was also felt that the Somalis were not completely innocent:

People were reconciled with Somalians, people would sit down with them and talk to them about how they can handle their businesses... cause they did like they did contribute to the suffering of people owning businesses in our community.

The business practices of the Somalis are described as part of the reason why people are poor and suffering, making it somewhat justifiable that the people of Dunoon stole from them. However, it was not only food that was stolen, nor was it only the Somalis who were looted:

We were walking there seeing people. They were taking stuff from, taking airtimes, and (pause), and uh, food. (Pause).

And:

So, we, I, I, I came here. When I go back there, I find, I find two shops (pause), near the taxi rank (pause) there’s people taking TVs, DVDs from Chinese shop.

Although many learners responded that people were ‘hungry and living in poverty’, the situation is more complex than that, even if many people in Dunoon are very poor and do not have as much food as they would like. People’s actions were also shaped by a set of collective attitudes, as they stole electronic equipment, cellular telephone airtime and they stole from a range of foreign nationals, including Chinese owned shops. It was also clear that they were not simply going to sell these items, in order to buy food. As one boy said:

C: Yes I wish I was there, because those people got what they got it was not said they should bring them back when the Somali’s got back. Now people have TV’s in their houses and I wish I got one for my shack.

Young people in poor areas are aware of the way in which personhood is constructed for modern, urban, middle-class adolescents and they desire the objects, such as televisions, as well as the status and lifestyle, which comprise this ideal. These young people are hungry for a better life, one that includes all of the privileges that are part of middle-class living. It is the interaction of this 'poor urban imaginary' and the relative deprivation that many of these citizens observe in the gap between the townships and suburbs like Constantia, which renders actions, like the looting of foreign owned shops, as justified in some poor people's eyes. The people of Dunoon are by no means wealthy and their daily lives are a struggle, but it became clear, in the interviews, that this 'hunger' of which the young people spoke, alluded to more than an instinctive need for food. This hunger also involved desires for what the urban poor feel it means to be a successful modern citizen, a lifestyle which is imbibed in televisions, laptop computers and airtime for cellphones. Although the looting of Somali shops was justified by descriptions of the need for food, this 'legitimising narrative' is a vestibule which also holds a set of beliefs related to what groups of the urban poor feel that they deserve, especially in relation to others in their environments whose situation is deemed, in some circumstances, to be illegitimate.

Conclusion

In this paper I have argued that, although many respondents stated that the attacks and looting carried out towards foreign nationals, in Dunoon, were the result of 'hunger', this need for food was used as a justification. Underneath and linked to this rhetoric were perceptions of inequality, in comparison to others in the immediate environment, as well as notions of citizenship related to social and economic rights assumed to be inherent in successful, modern, urban living. Integral to the manner in which young people legitimised the attacks and grapple with issues related to citizenship, were post apartheid understandings of 'blackness', which were associated with inferior skills, wealth, education and prosperity, in relation to Somali shopkeepers. This was accompanied by descriptions of aspirations for a modern, middle class lifestyle. This combination of self-perceptions resulted in young people, in this study, justifying the attacks on Somali shopkeepers as wrong, but understandable due to the conditions in which urban 'black' people live. The way that young people in Dunoon understand themselves, where they are going and their material circumstances, therefore shapes both their notions of citizenship and their understanding of what is fair for different groups of people, in South Africa. These beliefs fuel resentment and produce notions of illegitimacy towards others in their local neighbourhood, who appear to be better off, such as Somali shopkeepers. The

fact that the research was conducted with teenagers, may result in these values related to 'modernity' being more pronounced, as they have grown up in a globalised South Africa, where the media ubiquitously projects images of selfhood based on consumerism, lifestyle and technology. Relative deprivation may be experienced more acutely by this cohort. This is because teenagers from poor communities are hampered in their ambitions to attain adult-like individuality and independence, in comparison to middle-class teenagers, with more material resources.

The case study of Dunoon, and the events which transpired in this community illustrate how a disjunction may exist between 'formal citizenship'- membership within South Africa and 'substantive citizenship'- comprised of political, civil, socio-economic and cultural rights, for groups of poor South Africans, in the post-apartheid period. Although citizens are afforded political rights in new democracies, citizenship extends beyond these liberties. It includes participation in social and economic life. Caldeira & Holston (1999) argue that this disjunction occurs fairly commonly and can be observed in the new democracies of Latin America, Asia, Eastern Europe and Africa. In some cases, groups within these countries may claim rights through productive social movements. Holston (2007) has argued that concurrent with urbanisation, beginning in the 1970s, the Brazilian working class forged new forms of 'insurgent citizenship' through 'autoconstruction': rebuilding parts of urban peripheries in the form of houses, neighbourhoods and urban life. In so doing this group confronted historically entrenched forms of citizenship which maintained inequality, reclaiming participation and rights. However, the claiming of these substantive rights may not always occur through a process which produces inclusivity. The disjunction between formal and substantive citizenship may produce a response that enhances exclusivity, in the form of reactionary local movements, such as 'xenophobia' (Holston & Appadurai, 1999). In this paper I have explored how a negative form of insurgent citizenship, in the form of attacks on Somali shopkeepers, was related to relative deprivation and a lack of substantive citizenship.

The lack of substantive citizenship is further illustrated by the complaints in Appendix A and the fact that according to census data from 2001, 86% of Dunoon residents earned less than R1600 per month. While all South Africans have been granted formal citizenship, as described in the constitution, the social and economic rights which were assumed to accompany this form of citizenship have been elusive for many poor South Africans. Citizenship is not linear, cumulative or evenly distributed, it is always a disjunctive mix. People may, in theory, have certain rights in the new South Africa, but they often feel socially and economically deprived, which may easily result in resentment and violence

towards those deemed to be ‘outsiders’, (Nyamnjoh, 2006). Part of a society’s ability to make a success of democracy is related to the structural conditions that accompany that democracy (Dixon, 2004). South Africa has struggled to transform the structural inequalities that existed under apartheid. These inequalities continue to maintain huge differences in the distribution of wealth. Whilst certain groups may be understanding of these inequalities, because redistribution is assumed to take time, a lack of substantive citizenship may simultaneously produce scapegoats, such as Somalian shopkeepers in Dunoon and other Africans fighting to survive. In this light, Xenophobia, defined as “the intense dislike, hatred or fear of those perceived to be strangers”, explains as much as it is explained, by relative deprivation, economic inequality and the ‘modern imaginary’ of what it means to be a respectable citizen (Nyamnjoh, 2006).

This form of disjunctive citizenship, which contributes towards an obsession with ‘natives’ and ‘foreigners’, is not restricted to the South African context. The conflict between South African citizens and African foreign nationals needs to be seen in global terms. Other new democracies in Latin America, Asia and Africa display similar disjunctions and phenomena such as Eastern European immigrants moving westwards, 9/11 and United States-Mexico border crossings, demonstrate global tensions around inequality, capital/labour paradoxes, fear of ‘outsiders’ and the ambiguous role of the nation-state. There is, therefore, a need to understand the broader situation of global citizenship, Africa globalising and globalisation on Africa, to properly come to grips with the conflict between South African citizens and foreign nationals (Nyamnjoh, 2006). The downside to these effects of globalisation are contained in increased competition between poor people for menial jobs and the way this friction produces claims to ‘ethnicity’, nationality and privileges, which may result in bitter struggles. However, the learners in this study also hint at some of the benefits to a new South Africa, in a global community:

H: You see, mos, if maybe somehow there is Beyoncé (African-American pop star Beyonce Knowles), that she wants to come here, in South Africa. Maybe someday somehow, she’s going to be afraid to come here. That thing that happened to the Xenophobia thing, like, (pause). “What if I go to South Africa, like and put, maybe I put my BMW in South Africa. Maybe these guys in South Africa, the black guys will take that, will take that BMW of mine, and say that, and say that I’m a kwerekwere”. I think of 2010. 2010 is around the corner, mos, and someday somehow, there are maybe kwerekweres that are playing soccer. So that kwerekwere is going to be afraid to come in South Africa to play soccer. (pause). Cause they’ll be these plenty

people coming from all over the world: Nigeria, America, ya bo? Ettò (Ghana and Barcelona football star Emmanuel Etto) is going to be afraid to come in South Africa, cause he sees that there are people that are beaten here in South Africa, so how can I go in South Africa, cause, he won't, he won't feel free as usual. Let me say, maybe, Zinadine Zidane, or Ettò, or Ronaldo, they gonna be afraid to come to South Africa, cause they see that, ah, South Africa, it seems that it's a bad country, cause, cause there this thing that the South Africa has done. Maybe, there is this house of orphans here in South Africa, nē? Maybe there is a guy who is living overseas. He wants to come here, and give, and give sponsor maybe for these child that are, do not have parents, you see? Somehow he will think that: "oh. South Africa is such a kind of a country that is doing this and that, and that, and that. So, what's the use of me taking my money and giving to South Africa while South Africa is doing that"?

Globalization has also opened up channels for people to acknowledge their shared humanity, through common interests, such as music, sport and child-rearing (even if these interests are sometimes in the form of the desire for luxury German vehicles, American music or English soccer clubs). Although the learner continually uses the pejorative term 'kwerekwere', he is grappling with what it means for South Africa to exist on the world stage, in a context where South Africans' reputations are accountable to their domestic activities. A human-rights discourse, one that acknowledges the universality of dignity and condemns abuses of liberty and individual freedoms, is an important mechanism through which to assuage some of the abuses people have historically endured. However, this human-rights discourse needs to be accompanied by an inclusive notion of global citizenship, one which has access to the practices, social interactions, resources and privileges, usually reserved for an elite few.

This is not to condone prejudice or proclaim that the May attacks are understandable when considering the circumstances which prevail. A minority of South Africans was involved in these atrocities and many have spoken out against those who participated. However, 'xenophobia', implying a pathological phenomenon, one distinct from an otherwise healthy body politic and understood to be 'an irrational fear of strangers', is not a particularly comprehensive, all-inclusive concept. In some ways, the term xenophobia 'gets them asking the wrong questions, so they don't have to worry about the answers'. A question, which I think is more relevant, is asked by Suren Pillay:

What form of politics might we be seeing unfold in the corporatized identity given to those who have participated in the violence of May,

those who have been described as ‘hooligans’, ‘marauding mobs’ and ‘barbarians’? (Pillay, 2008)

The answer to this question is contained in the paradoxes of citizenship with rights but not rewards, democracies that are only partially democratic, identities which are exclusive, but also temporarily manufactured, spaces that are specifically local and yet contingently global and desires which are palpable yet elusive.

Appendix A

MEMORANDUM

To: The City of Cape Town

From: The Community of Dunoon and Site 5.

Attention: The Mayor of the City of Cape Town

- We the people living in shacks, in Dunoon and Site 5 are demanding Houses. Since 2001, The City of Cape Town has never built a single house for this Community and shacks are increasing everyday. We are living in unbearable conditions.
 - In most cases there is no sanitation and we have to use near-by bushes to relieve ourselves and therefore all our surroundings are filthy and stinking.
 - We are affected by diseases and it is worse with over children.
 - Winter is approaching we will be living in unbearable weather conditions, mud, water and cold conditions.
 - We live in overcrowded conditions without any privacy.
 - We live in fear of fires that destroy the few belongings we have.
 - Our shack areas become hiding places for criminals and we get killed and robbed everyday.
 - We cannot raise, build and project our families under these conditions.
 - Living in such conditions that we cannot have access to services that can improve the quality of our lives e.g. clean water, sanitation, roads, lighting, proper health services and many more.
 - No human being deserves to live in such conditions. We have been sentenced to live in hell by our own government.
2. Dunoon is a health hazard – The streets are dirty, refuse collections is a disaster. Drains bursting with faeces floating in the streets is a daily occurrence, flies and maggots crawling all over the place has become part of our lives, the whole township is filthy and stinking. These conditions are a breeding ground for all types of diseases. We are being sentenced to death by lack of adequate basic services. General Dunoon is overpopulated and our infrastructure cannot accommodate this situation.
 3. Our Small clinic cannot accommodate the needs of our Community. It is too small and understaffed. We need a Day Hospital with adequate equipment, facilities and staff. Mothers give birth in Ambulances or homes, people die on their way to Somerset Hospital, old and sick people have to travel long distances to the nearest Health Facilities outside Dunoon. This is not acceptable.
 4. Phase one in Dunoon does not have drains – We draw dirty water from our kitchens with buckets and pour it in drains or in the streets. The effects of that is obvious. This causes a breeding space for flies and germs and at times the blockage of storm water drains. We do not have play places, our children play in the streets and they end up picking up these germs.
 5. We need a Community Centre – We do not have a Community Centre where we can do various Projects like,
 - Indoor Sport Activities
 - Choirs, Soup kitchens
 - Community Resource Centre
 - Sewing, Knitting, Bead making etc...

Many NGOs and CBs want to service the Community of Dunoon – But they do not have space from where we can be served. We desperately need these services.

References

- Abrams, D & Hogg, M. 1990. An introduction to the social identity approach. In Abrams, D & Hogg, M (eds) *Social Identity Theory*, pp1-10. London: Harvester.
- Barry, M. 2006. Formalising informal land rights: the case of Marconi Beam to Joe Slovo Park. *Habitat International*, 30, 628-644.
- Bray, R, Gooskens, I, Khan, L, Moses, S & Seekings, J. Forthcoming 2010. *Growing up in the new South Africa: childhood and adolescence in post-apartheid Cape Town*. Cape Town: Human Sciences Research Council Press.
- Booyesen, S. 2007. With the ballot and the brick: the politics of attaining service delivery. *Progress in Development Studies*, 7 (1), 21-32.
- Caldeira, T & Holston, J. 1999. Democracy and violence in Brazil. *Society for Comparative Study of Society and History*. pp691-730
- Charney, C. 1995. *Voices of a new democracy: African expectations in the new South Africa*. Centre for Policy Studies, Johannesburg. Research Report no.38.
- Chipkin, I. 2007. *Do South Africans exist? Nationalism, democracy and the identity of the people*. Johannesburg: Wits University Press.
- Comaroff, J & Comaroff, J. 2001. Naturing the nation: aliens, apocalypse and the post-colonial state. *Journal of Southern African Studies*, 27 (3), 627-651
- Crush, J. 2008. The perfect storm: the realities of xenophobia in contemporary South Africa. *South African Migration Project, Migration Policy Series no. 50*. Cape Town: Idasa
- Danso, R & McDonald, D. 2000. Writing xenophobia: immigration and the press in post-apartheid South Africa. *Southern African Migration Project, Migration Policy Series no 17*. Cape Town: Idasa.
- de Vries, L, Samsodien, L, Kemp, Y & Bailey, C. 2008. *Cape Argus online edition*, 23 May 2008. Available at:
<http://www.capeargus.co.za/index.php?fArticleId=4417363>.
- Dixon, B. 2004. Introduction. In Dixon, B & van der Spuy, E (Eds) *Justice gained? Crime and crime control in South Africa's transition*, pp 1-28. Cape Town, UCT Press.

Gilroy, P. 1995. *There ain't no black in the Union Jack: the cultural politics of race and nation*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Harris, B. 2002. Xenophobia: a new pathology for a new South Africa? In D. Hook & G. Eagle (eds) *Psychopathology and social prejudice*, pp169-184. Cape Town: University of Cape Town Press.

Holston, J. 2007. *Insurgent Citizenship*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Holston, J & Appendurai, A. 1999. Introduction: cities and citizenship. In Holston, J (ed), *Cities and Citizenship*. Durham: Duke University Press.

Jooste, B. 2008. We just wanted stuff, say looters. www.iol.co.za. Article originally appeared online on 1 June 2008.

McDonald, D. 2000. We have contact: foreign migration and civic participation in Marconi Beam, Cape Town. *Canadian Journal of African Studies*, 34 (1), 101-123.

Nattrass, N & Seekings, J. 1998. Growth, democracy and expectations in South Africa. In I Abedian & M Biggs (eds) *Economic globalisation and fiscal policy* (pp27-54). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Neocosmos, M. 2006. *From 'foreign natives' to 'native foreigners': explaining xenophobia in post-apartheid South Africa*. Dakar: Codesria.

Nkuna, L. 2006. 'Fitting-in' to a classy place: the zone and youth identity. In Alexander, P, Dawson, M & Ichharam, M (eds), *Globalisation and new identities: a view from the middle*, pp261-274. Johannesburg: Jacana.

Nyamnjoh, F. 2006. *Insiders and outsiders: citizenship and xenophobia in contemporary Southern Africa*. London: Zed Books.

Park, K. 1996. Use and abuse of race and culture: black-Korean tension in America. *American Anthropologist*, 98(3), 492-501.

Pillay, S. 2008. *Dangerous ordinary discourse: preliminary reflections on xenophobia, violence and the public sphere in South Africa*. Human Sciences Research Council: Unpublished paper.

Ramphela, M. 2002. *Steering by the stars: being young in South Africa*. Cape Town: Tafelberg

Ratele, K. 2003. We black men. *International Journal of Intercultural relations*, 27(2), 237-249.

Reicher, S. 2002. The psychology of crowd dynamics. In M. Hogg & R. Scott (eds), *Blackwell Handbook of Social Psychology: Group Processes*. Chapter 8. London: Blackwell.

Saff, G. 2005. The language of residential exclusion. *The Journal of South African and American Studies*, 6 (3), 1-20.

Saff, G. 1998. *Changing Cape Town*. Maryland: University Press of America.

Saff, G. 1996. *Claiming a space in a changing South Africa: the "squatters" of Marconi Beam, Cape Town*, 86 (2), 236-255.

Seekings, J, Jooste, T, Langer, M and Maughan-Brown, B. 2005. Inequality and Diversity in Cape Town: An Introduction and User's Guide to the 2005 Cape Area Study', *CSSR Working Paper* no.124. Cape Town: Centre for Social Science Research, University of Cape Town.

Seekings, J. 2005. The mutability of distributive justice attitudes in South Africa. *CSSR Working Paper no. 125*. Cape Town: Centre for Social Science Research, University of Cape Town.

Seekings, J & Natrass, N. 2005. *Class, race and inequality in South Africa*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Seekings, J. 2007. Poverty and inequality after apartheid. *CSSR Working Paper no. 200*. Cape Town: Centre for Social Science Research, university of Cape Town.

Seekings, J. *Forthcoming book chapter*. Alternative measures of poverty and well-being.

South African Police Service. 2008. Information obtained from Head Office, Pretoria.

Statistics South Africa. 2008. *General household survey, 2007*. Pretoria: Statistics SA.

Stott, C & Drury, J. 2000. Crowds, context and identity: dynamic categorisation processes in the 'poll tax riot'. *Human Relations*, 53 (2), 247-273.

Thompson, E. P. 1993. *Customs in common: studies in traditional popular culture*. New York: The New Press.

Turner, B. 1993. Contemporary problems in the theory of citizenship. In B. Turner (ed), *Citizenship and social theory*, pp1-19. London: Sage.

von Lieres, B & Robins, S. 2008. Democracy and citizenship. In Shepherd, N & Robins, S (eds) *New South African Keywords*, pp 47-57. Ohio: Ohio University Press.